# Probabilistic Self-Stabilization

Emanuele Natale<sup>†</sup>

joint work with Luca Becchetti<sup>†</sup>, Andrea Clementi<sup>\*</sup>, and Francesco Pasquale<sup>\*</sup>





# 16th Italian Conference on Theoretical Computer Science Firenze, 9 – 11 September 2015









STOC papers, books, many important open problems

 $S := \{$  "correct states of the system"  $\}$ .

 $S := \{$  "correct states of the system"  $\}$ .

Convergence. Starting from any state, the system eventually reaches a state in  $\mathcal{S}$ .



 $\mathcal{S} := \{$  "correct states of the system"  $\}$ .

Convergence. Starting from any state, the system eventually reaches a state in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Closure**. If the system is in a state in  $\mathcal{S}$ , it stays in  $\mathcal{S}$ , provided no fault happens.



 $\bullet$  := state of the system

 $\mathcal{S} := \{$  "correct states of the system"  $\}$ .

Convergence. Starting from any state, the system eventually reaches a state in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Closure. If the system is in a state in  $\mathcal{S}$ , it stays in  $\mathcal{S}$ , provided no fault happens.

A system is self-stabilizing iff guarantees convergence and closure w.r.t. S.









Maximum load: maximum number of balls that end up in any bin.



At each round, pick one ball from each non-empty bin...



At each round, pick one ball from each non-empty bin...



At each round, pick one ball from each non-empty bin... ...and throw them again u.a.r.



At each round, pick one ball from each non-empty bin... ...and throw them again u.a.r.



At each round, pick one ball from each non-empty bin... ...and throw them again u.a.r.

Max load: max. number of balls in any bin.

Let  $S = \{\text{config. with max load } O(\log n)\}$ Is the repeated balls into bins self-stabilizing?

Let  $S = \{\text{config. with max load } O(\log n)\}$ Is the repeated balls into bins self-stabilizing?

Convergence?

Let  $S = \{\text{config. with max load } O(\log n)\}$ Is the repeated balls into bins self-stabilizing?

Convergence? NO.



• := state of the system ---:= random trajectory

Let  $S = \{\text{config. with max load } O(\log n)\}$ Is the repeated balls into bins self-stabilizing?

Convergence? NO.

Closure?



• := state of the system ---:= random trajectory

Let  $S = \{\text{config. with max load } O(\log n)\}$ Is the repeated balls into bins self-stabilizing?

Convergence? NO.

Closure? NO.





• := state of the system ---:= random trajectory

Let  $S = \{\text{config. with max load } O(\log n)\}$ Is the repeated balls into bins self-stabilizing?

Convergence? NO.

Closure? NO.





• := state of the system ---:= random trajectory

...but almost!

# / " Self Stabilization

- **pseudo self-stabilization**: the system is allowed to deviate from legitimate states for a finite amount of time;
- *k*-self-stabilization: all allowed initial states are those from which a legitimate state of the system can be reached by changing the state of at most *k* agents;
- **probabilistic self-stabilization**: randomized strategies for self-stabilization are allowed;
- **weak self-stabilization**: only requires the existence of an execution that eventually converges to a legitimate state.
- randomized self-stabilization: the expected number of rounds needed to reach a correct state is bounded by some constant k.

- **pseudo self-stabilization**: the system is allowed to deviate from legitimate states for a finite amount of time;
- *k*-self-stabilization: all allowed initial states are those from which a legitimate state of the system can be reached by changing the state of at most *k* agents;
- **probabilistic self-stabilization**: randomized strategies for self-stabilization are allowed;
- **weak self-stabilization**: only requires the existence of an execution that eventually converges to a legitimate state.
- randomized self-stabilization: the expected number of rounds needed to reach a correct state is bounded by some constant k.
- self-stabilization w.h.p.: convergence and closure are guaranteed only with high probability (fails with prob  $n^{-\Theta(1)}$ ).

Stochastic dependence in balls-into-bins: negative association, Poisson approximation...

Stochastic dependence in balls-into-bins: negative association, Poisson approximation...

Stochastic dependence in repeated balls-into-bins:

...?

Stochastic dependence in balls-into-bins: negative association, Poisson approximation...

Stochastic dependence in repeated balls-into-bins:

 $\dots$ ? A *coupling w.h.p.*: the tetris process

Stochastic dependence in balls-into-bins: negative association, Poisson approximation...

Stochastic dependence in repeated balls-into-bins:

#### ...?

A coupling w.h.p.: the tetris process

 $M_t^{(RBB)} := \text{time } t \text{ max. load in repeated b.i.b.}$  $M_t^{(T)} := \text{time } t \text{ max. load in tetris proc.}$ 

$$\Pr(M_t^{(RBB)} \ge k) \le \Pr(M_t^{(T)} \ge k) + t \cdot e^{-\Theta(n)}$$

#### Our Contribution [ACM SPAA '15]

From any configuration, in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  rounds the repeated balls-into-bins process reaches a conf. with max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p. and, from any conf. with max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ , the max load keeps  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.

Goal: keep max load below  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ .  $\bigwedge$  ......max # of tokens on each node



Goal: keep max load below  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ . Simple random walks: max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p.



Goal: keep max load below  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ . Simple random walks: max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p.



### B.i.B. & $\mathcal{GOSSIP}$ Random Walks

Goal: keep max load below  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ . Simple random walks: max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p.



 $\mathcal{GOSSIP}$  model [Censor-Hillel et al. '12]: only one token moves from each node (limited communication).

Goal: keep max load below  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ . Simple random walks: max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p.



 $\mathcal{GOSSIP}$  model [Censor-Hillel et al. '12]: only one token moves from each node (limited communication). Max load of  $\mathcal{GOSSIP}$  random walks:  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ ?
# Some Related Work

Information exchange in phone-call model [Berenbrink et al. 2010, Elsässer et al. 2015]: analysis for polylog(n) rounds.

# Some Related Work

Information exchange in phone-call model [Berenbrink et al. 2010, Elsässer et al. 2015]: analysis for polylog(n) rounds.

Mixing time on regular expanders [Becchetti et al. 2015]: maximum load  $\sqrt{t}$  (t rounds).

# Some Related Work

Information exchange in phone-call model [Berenbrink et al. 2010, Elsässer et al. 2015]: analysis for polylog(n) rounds.

Mixing time on regular expanders [Becchetti et al. 2015]: maximum load  $\sqrt{t}$  (t rounds).

Closed Jackson networks in queueing theory: asynchronous version of  $\mathcal{GOSSIP}$  r.w.s (admits closed form solution).

Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



Every  $\Omega(n)$  rounds: the adversary move the tokens (cfr Adversarial Queuing Theory [Borodin et al., '01])



# Our Contribution

From any configuration, in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  rounds the repeated balls-into-bins process reaches a conf. with max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p. and, from any conf. with max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ , the max load keeps  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.

Repeated *n* balls in *n* bins =  $n \ \mathcal{GOSSIP}$  r.w.s on *n*-node complete graph (with loops)

# Our Contribution

From any configuration, in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  rounds the repeated balls-into-bins process reaches a conf. with max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  w.h.p. and, from any conf. with max load  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ , the max load keeps  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.

#### Corollary

After at most  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  rounds the max. load of n $\mathcal{GOSSIP}$  r.w.s on *n*-node complete graph is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$ w.h.p., and keeps  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(*n*) rounds.

# Conclusions

Probabilistic self-stabilization is a fruitful concept in investigating fault tolerant algorithms that succeed with high probability.

#### **Research Direction**

Re-work the theory of self-stabilization under the "w.h.p.-relaxation":

simplify old solutions & solve old open problems.

# **Open Questions**

#### GOSSIP random walks Maximum load on other topologies? On regular graphs? On the ring?

# **Open Questions**

#### GOSSIP random walks

#### Maximum load on other topologies? On regular graphs? On the ring?

#### **Repeated balls-into-bins**

Maximum load of repeated balls-into-bins with  $\omega(n)$  balls?  $\Theta(n \log n)$  balls?

# Thank you!

Task assignment in mutual exclusion [Dijkstra '73]: Processors have to process the task , the task can be processed by only one processor at a time.

Task assignment in mutual exclusion [Dijkstra '73]: Processors have to process the tasks, the tasks can be processed by only one processor at a time.

Task assignment in mutual exclusion [Dijkstra '73]: Processors have to process the tasks, the tasks can be processed by only one processor at a time.

# Random walks strategies

(Parallel) cover time: First round s.t. *each* task has been processed by each processor.

Task assignment in mutual exclusion [Dijkstra '73]: Processors have to process the tasks, the tasks can be processed by only one processor at a time.

Random walks strategies What is the cover time of  $n \mathcal{GOSSIP}$  random walks?

(Parallel) cover time: First round s.t. *each* task has been processed by each processor.

# Tasks Assignment in the GOSSIP Model

Task assignment in mutual exclusion |Dijkstra '73|: Processors have to process the tasks, the tasks can be processed by only one processor at a time.

Random walks strategies (Parallel) cover time: First round s.t. *each* task has been processed by each processor.

What is the cover time of  $n \mathcal{GOSSIP}$ random walks?

#### Corollary

Cover time of nGOSSIP r.w.s on *n*-node complete graph is  $\mathcal{O}(n\log^2 n)$  w.h.p.

#### Lemma

At the next round  $|\{\text{empty bins}\}| \ge \frac{n}{4}$  w.h.p.

#### Lemma

At the next round  $|\{\text{empty bins}\}| \ge \frac{n}{4}$  w.h.p.

#### Corollary

At the next round  $|\{\text{thrown balls}\}| \leq \frac{3n}{4}$  w.h.p.

#### Lemma

At the next round  $|\{\text{empty bins}\}| \ge \frac{n}{4}$  w.h.p.

## Corollary

At the next round  $|\{\text{thrown balls}\}| \leq \frac{3n}{4}$  w.h.p.

# Proof $a := |\{\text{empty bins}\}|, b := |\{\text{bins with 1 ball}\}|,$ $X := |\{\text{new empty bins}\}|$ 1. $\mathbb{E}[X] = (a+b)(1-1/n)^{n-a}$

#### Lemma

At the next round  $|\{\text{empty bins}\}| \ge \frac{n}{4}$  w.h.p.

## Corollary

At the next round  $|\{\text{thrown balls}\}| \leq \frac{3n}{4}$  w.h.p.

Proof  $a := |\{\text{empty bins}\}|, b := |\{\text{bins with 1 ball}\}|,$   $X := |\{\text{new empty bins}\}|$ 1.  $\mathbb{E}[X] = (a+b)(1-1/n)^{n-a}$ 2.  $n - (a+b) \leq a \implies \mathbb{E}[X] \geq (1+\epsilon)\frac{n}{4}$ 

#### Lemma

At the next round  $|\{\text{empty bins}\}| \ge \frac{n}{4}$  w.h.p.

## Corollary

At the next round  $|\{\text{thrown balls}\}| \leq \frac{3n}{4}$  w.h.p.

Proof  $a := |\{\text{empty bins}\}|, b := |\{\text{bins with 1 ball}\}|,$   $X := |\{\text{new empty bins}\}|$ 1.  $\mathbb{E}[X] = (a + b)(1 - 1/n)^{n-a}$ 2.  $n - (a + b) \le a \implies \mathbb{E}[X] \ge (1 + \epsilon)\frac{n}{4}$ 3. Chernoff bound (negative association)

#### **Tetris Process**

#### 1- Throw away a ball from each non-empty bin 2- Throw 3n/4 balls in the bins u.a.r.



#### **Tetris Process**

1- Throw away a ball from each non-empty bin 2- Throw 3n/4 balls in the bins u.a.r.

**Coupling** Step 1: As rep. b.i.b., take one ball from each bin



#### **Tetris Process**

1- Throw away a ball from each non-empty bin 2- Throw 3n/4 balls in the bins u.a.r.

**Coupling** Step 1: As rep. b.i.b., take one ball from each bin



#### Tetris Process

1- Throw away a ball from each non-empty bin 2- Throw 3n/4 balls in the bins u.a.r.

#### Coupling

Step 1: As rep. b.i.b., take one ball from each bin Step 2: Let k := non-empty bins in rep. b.i.b. If k > 3n/4 then tetris  $\perp$  rep. b.i.b. Else throw the first k balls in the same bin of rep. b.i.b., and the others u.a.r.

#### Tetris Process

1- Throw away a ball from each non-empty bin 2- Throw 3n/4 balls in the bins u.a.r.

#### Coupling

Step 1: As rep. b.i.b., take one ball from each bin Step 2: Let k := non-empty bins in rep. b.i.b. If k > 3n/4 then tetris  $\perp$  rep. b.i.b. Else throw the first k balls in the same bin of rep. b.i.b., and the others u.a.r.





#### Theorem

The max. load of the tetris process is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.

#### Theorem

The max. load of the tetris process is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.



#### Theorem

The max. load of the tetris process is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.



T := # rounds from last time the bin was empty For each bin: load k at round  $t \implies$  received k + T balls

#### Theorem

The max. load of the tetris process is  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  for poly(n) rounds w.h.p.



T := # rounds from last time the bin was empty For each bin: load k at round  $t \implies$  received k + T balls

#### Lemma

From any configuration, every bin in the tetris proc. is empty at least once every 5n rounds w.h.p.