# Dynamics, Consensus, and Distributed Community Detection

Emanuele Natale<sup>\*</sup> joint work with Luca Becchetti<sup>\*</sup>, Andrea Clementi<sup>\*</sup>, Francesco Pasquale<sup>\*</sup> and Luca Trevisan<sup>□</sup>







### HIGHLIGHTS OF ALGORITHMS Paris, June 6-8, 2016

#### The Morale

# Dynamics are cool!

At each round, each agent observes the states of a fixed-size sample of neighbors, and updates her state accordingly.



At each round, each agent observes the states of a fixed-size sample of neighbors, and updates her state accordingly.



At each round, each agent observes the states of a fixed-size sample of neighbors, and updates her state accordingly.



At each round, each agent observes the states of a fixed-size sample of neighbors, and updates her state accordingly.



#### **Uniform** PULL model $\rightarrow$ Complete graph

Very simple distributed algorithms: For every graph, agent and round, states are updated according to fixed symmetric function of states of neighbors.

Very simple distributed algorithms: For every graph, agent and round, states are updated according to fixed symmetric function of states of neighbors.

Examples of Dynamics

• 3-Median dynamics



Very simple distributed algorithms: For every graph, agent and round, states are updated according to fixed symmetric function of states of neighbors.

- 3-Median dynamics
- 3-Majority dynamics



Very simple distributed algorithms: For every graph, agent and round, states are updated according to fixed symmetric function of states of neighbors.

- 3-Median dynamics
- 3-Majority dynamics
- Undecided-state dynamics



Very simple distributed algorithms: For every graph, agent and round, states are updated according to fixed symmetric function of states of neighbors.

- 3-Median dynamics
- 3-Majority dynamics
- Undecided-state dynamics
- Averaging dynamics



Very simple distributed algorithms: For every graph, agent and round, states are updated according to fixed symmetric function of states of neighbors.

- 3-Median dynamics
- 3-Majority dynamics
- Undecided-state dynamics
- Averaging dynamics (non-uniform PULL)



# The Power of Dynamics

3-Median dynamics [Doerr et al. '11]. Converge to  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n \log n})$  approximation of median of system in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  rounds w.h.p., even if  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$  states are arbitrarily changed at each round  $(\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ -bounded adversary).

## The Power of Dynamics

3-Median dynamics [Doerr et al. '11]. Converge to  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n \log n})$  approximation of median of system in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  rounds w.h.p., even if  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$  states are arbitrarily changed at each round  $(\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ -bounded adversary).

3-Majority dynamics [Becchetti et al. '14, '16]. If plurality has bias  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{kn \log n})$ , converges to it in  $\mathcal{O}(k \log n)$  rounds w.h.p., even against  $o(\sqrt{n/k})$ -bounded adversary. Without bias, converges in  $\operatorname{poly}(k)$ . *h*-majority converges in  $\Omega(k/h^2)$ .

## The Power of Dynamics

3-Median dynamics [Doerr et al. '11]. Converge to  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n \log n})$ approximation of median of system in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  rounds w.h.p., even if  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$  states are arbitrarily changed at each round  $(\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ -bounded adversary).

3-Majority dynamics [Becchetti et al. '14, '16]. If plurality has bias  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{kn \log n})$ , converges to it in  $\mathcal{O}(k \log n)$  rounds w.h.p., even against  $o(\sqrt{n/k})$ -bounded adversary. Without bias, converges in  $\operatorname{poly}(k)$ . *h*-majority converges in  $\Omega(k/h^2)$ .

Undecided-State dynamics [Becchetti et al. '15]. If majority/second-majority  $(c_{maj}/c_{2^{nd}maj})$  is at least  $1 + \epsilon$ , system converges to plurality within  $\tilde{\Theta}(\sum_{i} c_{i}^{2}/c_{maj}^{2})$  rounds w.h.p.

#### A Global Measure of Bias



Dynamics can solve Consensus, Median and Majority: trivial in centralized setting.

Dynamics can solve Consensus, Median and Majority: trivial in centralized setting.

Can dynamics solve a problem non-trivial in centralized setting?

Dynamics can solve Consensus, Median and Majority: trivial in centralized setting.

Can dynamics solve a problem non-trivial in centralized setting?

Averaging dynamics. P matrix of simple random walk on a graph,  $x^{(t)}$  config. at time t. Update rule  $x^{(t+1)} = P \cdot x^{(t)}$ .

Dynamics can solve Consensus, Median and Majority: trivial in centralized setting.

Can dynamics solve a problem non-trivial in centralized setting?

Averaging dynamics. P matrix of simple random walk on a graph,  $x^{(t)}$  config. at time t. Update rule  $x^{(t+1)} = P \cdot x^{(t)}$ .

x is eigenvector of  $P = D^{-1}A$  iff  $D^{1/2}x$  is eigenvector of  $N = D^{-1/2}AD^{-1/2}$ .

## From Consensus to Community Detection

Stochastic Block Model (SBM). Two "communities" of equal size  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , each edge inside a community included with probability p, each edge across communities included with probability q < p.



**Reconstruction problem.** Given graph generated by SBM, find original partition.

# Clustering via a Dynamics

Expected matrix 
$$\mathbb{E}[P] = \begin{pmatrix} p & \dots & p & q & \dots & q \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p & \dots & p & q & \dots & q \\ q & \dots & q & p & \dots & p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q & \dots & q & p & \dots & p \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Clustering via a Dynamics

Expected 
$$\mathbb{E}[P] = \begin{pmatrix} p & \dots & p & q & \dots & q \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p & \dots & p & q & \dots & q \\ q & \dots & q & p & \dots & p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q & \dots & q & p & \dots & p \end{pmatrix}$$

Second eigenvector:  $\chi = (1, ..., 1, -1, ..., -1)$ 

#### Clustering via a Dynamics

Expected 
$$\mathbb{E}[P] = \begin{pmatrix} p & \dots & p & q & \dots & q \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p & \dots & p & q & \dots & q \\ q & \dots & q & p & \dots & p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q & \dots & q & p & \dots & p \end{pmatrix}$$

Second eigenvector:  $\chi = (1, ..., 1, -1, ..., -1)$ 

[Becchetti et al. '16] If  $x^{(t+1)} - x^{(t)} > 0$  you are blue, if  $x^{(t+1)} - x^{(t)} < 0$  you are red: distributed clustering on SBM and other *clusterized* models in  $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$  (no dependency on mixing time).

# Thank you!