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## Some examples (Plurality Consensus)

Flocks of birds [Ben-Shahar et al. '10]
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Insects colonies [Franks et al. '02]


Eukaryotic cells [Cardelli et al. '12]
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Noise affects animal communication, but animals cannot use coding theory...
O. Feinerman, B. Haeupler and A. Korman.

Breathe before speaking: efficient information dissemination despite noisy, limited and anonymous communication. (PODC '14)
$\Longrightarrow$ Natural rules efficiently solve rumor spreading and plurality consensus despite noise.

They only consider the binary-opinion case.
Our contribution: generalize to many opinions.

## Binary Case - Model

$n$ agents. One agent has one bit to spread.
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## Breathe Before Speaking
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## Stage 1: Spreading

## blue vs red: $40 / 24 \approx 1.7$

"[...] ants effectively self-restrict their own tendency to engage in further interactions that would excite further nest-mates."
(Razin et al. '13)

## Breathe Before Speaking
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 造法 } \\
& \text { Stage 1: Spreading } \\
& \text { blue vs red: } \\
& 40 / 24 \approx 1.7
\end{aligned}
$$

Stage 2：Amplifying majority

##  <br> \＃汽 $<$ \＃跳？
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- Stochastic Dependence

- Multivariate Asymptotics

The number $k$ of states of an agent changes with the number of agents in the system.

$$
k=k(n) \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty
$$
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Noise Matrix:
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$\delta$－majority－biased configuration w．r．t．揮：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \# 換 } / n-\# \text { 酸 } / n>\delta \\
& \text { \#跨 } / n-\# \text { 酸 } / n>\delta
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 / 2+\varepsilon & 1 / 2-\varepsilon \\
1 / 2-\varepsilon & 1 / 2+\varepsilon
\end{array}\right) \Longrightarrow \text { Feinerman et al. }
$$
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## Binomial vs Beta

Given $p \in(0,1)$ and $0 \leq j \leq \ell$ it holds
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## Multinomial vs Dirichlet?



